

1 My concern is that in the reading of the speech
2 and clearly the sense in which this speech was delivered
3 he suggested the replacement of lawyers with paralegals.
4 Paralegals have a place in the system, not to the
5 exclusion of lawyers.

6 MR. VALOIS: Well, I didn't
7 read it that way and don't now understand it that way,
8 but in fact, I mean, in the example that I cite and
9 there are many others in our system, where non-lawyers
10 appear before an agency or commission instead of a
11 lawyer. That is permitted and sanctioned and frankly
12 encouraged.

13 MR. WAYSTACK: I have no
14 problem with that as a general proposition. However,
15 most of the time that that occurs, Mr. Chairman, it is
16 with the advice or under the tutelage of a lawyer.
17 The paralegal is not the final authority on the situation.
18 That's the concern I have here in his remarks.

19 MR. VALOIS: Well...

20 MR. WAYSTACK: Excuse me.

21 MR. VALOIS: Mr. Smegal?

22 MR. SMEGAL: Yes, I've got a
23 motion, Mr. Chairman. I move that this Board go on

1 record and make it clear in this public meeting that
2 it does not support the abolishment or replacement of
3 the Legal Services Corporation. If there's a second,
4 I'll speak to it.

MRS. MILLER: I'll second that.

5 MR. SMEGAL: You all got a
6 copy of the letter I wrote to Clark on March 13th. I
7 did not write to him as I might have immediately after
8 his outrageous speech to the mid-winter meeting of the
9 ABA. I waited a considerable period of time to let
10 the dust settle, and I think the part we've got to
11 focus upon here is not what Clark said and whether he
12 had the right to say it to LeaAnne as personal views, but
13 what he...appeared to be, not only to the ABA but to the
14 television audience in...or the television on the Today
15 Show, that he was somehow speaking for me and speaking
16 for the rest of you.

17 His views were his own. He failed to make that
18 clear. He has misrepresented to the public the basis
19 for his quote policy statement to the ABA. He did not
20 speak for me. I make that clear now and I think the
21 only way we can clarify that issue is to vote affirma-
22 tively for my motion which would make it clear that this
23